

Planetary Health Report Card (Medicine):

Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto





2024-2025 Contributing Team:

- Students: Augusto Santos*, Sofia Lopes, Bárbara Moura, Bruno Fonseca, David Freiria, Joana Gaspar, Francisca Correia, Dra. Tânia Fernandes, Luísa Vale, Dinis Cabral
- Faculty Mentors: Prof. Dr. Teresa Leão, Prof. Dr. Francisco Cruz

*Primary Contact: Augusto Santos, acao.comunitaria@aefmup.pt

Land acknowledgment:

Summary of Findings

Overall Grade C-

Curriculum

- The Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto (FMUP) partially incorporates planetary health into its curriculum. While various facets of planetary health are covered in lectures, there is room for improvement.
- **Recommendations**: The curriculum lacks a curricular unit that is dedicated to discussions on the topics related to planetary health. Introduction of a curricular unit focused on planetary health and its impacts on healthcare would ensure a more integrated approach to understanding and addressing these issues.

Interdisciplinary Research

 \mathbf{C}

- The University of Porto organised conferences about planetary health and sustainability. However, these weren't specifically tailored for medical students. Additionally, FMUP is the workplace of many professors actively engaged in research related to planetary health and healthcare sustainability.
- **Recommendations**: FMUP should consider arranging a conference dedicated to Planetary Health to better engage its students. Additionally, joining the Planetary Health Alliance and the Global Consortium on Climate and Health Education would enhance the institution's commitment to planetary health initiatives.

Community Outreach and Advocacy

C

- FMUP participated through university initiatives. Email updates and newsletters from FMUP highlight its involvement in pollution and climate change studies. The faculty offers focused post-graduate courses on planetary health. University Hospital Center of São João (CHUSJ) provides accessible patient education on environmental risks, emphasising air pollution and weather-related health impacts.
- **Recommendations**: Enhance engagement with affected communities by organising planetary health events and involving medical students. Increase visibility of faculty research through targeted communication channels, fostering a stronger connection between research efforts and community advocacy.

Support for Student-Led Initiatives

C-

- FMUP encourages sustainability, however it lacks a specific foundation. There is a Program in pulmonary restrictive diseases, and the Students' Association (AEFMUP) actively addresses environmental issues. Its students are represented in Pedagogic and Representative Councils, and AEFMUP promotes various outdoor activities.
- **Recommendations**: To improve, FMUP could establish a dedicated foundation for planetary health support and expand funding opportunities for student-led sustainability initiatives.

Campus Sustainability

C+

- While there is a specific group for energetic sustainability at CHUSJ and FMUP, there is room for improvement. FMUP's new buildings and remodelled old buildings prioritise sustained infrastructure objectives. Although there are recycling bins on campus, there is no organics recycling program. The new lab is environmentally sustainable, and FMUP is progressively increasing its use of solar panels and biomass for energy, indicating positive strides toward a more sustainable future.
- **Recommendations**: Introducing an organics recycling program with compost bins on campus would promote responsible waste management. Developing comprehensive sustainability guidelines for energy sourcing, infrastructure development, and investment decisions would ensure a holistic approach. FMUP's commitment to environmentally sustainable labs could be strengthened by integrating green technologies.

Statement of Purpose

Planetary health is human health.

The Planetary Health Alliance describes planetary health as "a solutions-oriented, transdisciplinary field and social movement focused on analysing and addressing the impacts of human disruptions to Earth's natural systems on human health and all life on Earth." This definition is intentionally broad, intended to encompass the multitude of ways that the environment can affect health, including water scarcity, changing food systems, urbanisation, biodiversity shifts, natural disasters, climate change, changing land use and land cover, global pollution, and changing biogeochemical flows. The health of humanity is dependent on our environment, and our environment is changing rapidly and in disastrous ways. Although the World Health Organization has called climate change "the greatest threat to global health in the 21st century," many medical school's institutional priorities do not reflect the urgency of this danger to human health.

As future health professionals, we must be prepared to address the impacts of human-caused environmental changes on our patients' health. This preparation is in the hands of the institutions providing our medical training. It is imperative that we hold our institutions accountable for educating medical students about the health impacts of climate change and other anthropogenic environmental changes, generating research to better understand health impacts and solutions, supporting related student initiatives, embracing sustainable practices as much as possible, and engaging with surrounding communities that are most affected by environmental threats. Because climate change and environmental threats disproportionately affect vulnerable populations (for example, communities of colour, older adults sensitive to health threats, and individuals in low-resource settings), these issues are inherently ones of equity and justice.

With the purpose of increasing planetary health awareness and accountability among health professional schools, we have created a Planetary Health Report Card that students internationally can use to grade and compare their institutions on an annual basis. This student-driven initiative aims to compare health professional schools nationally and internationally on the basis of discrete metrics in five main category areas: 1) planetary health curriculum, 2) interdisciplinary research in health and environment, 3) university support for student planetary health initiatives, and 4) community outreach centred on environmental health impacts 5) medical school campus sustainability.

Instructions for Completing the PHRC

Thank you! We are really pleased to have you and your team on board to complete the PHRC at your institution. Many of you will have already been part of a completed report card or even lead the team at your school but please take a moment to read the instructions below.

For a full comprehensive step-by-step guide to completing your report card please refer to the PHRC User Guide. This page serves as a brief overview of the important methodology.

Completing the report card:

The Planetary Health Report Card is a self assessment tool designed to identify an institution's strengths and areas in need of improvement in regards to its planetary health education. The metric-based report card consists of five sections; 1. Curriculum, 2. Interdisciplinary Research, 3. Community Outreach, 4. Support for Student-Led Initiatives and 5. Campus Sustainability.

• Metrics. There are roughly 55 metrics (depending on your discipline). Sections 2-5 are the same across all disciplines. Each metric has different criteria for either scoring 1, 2 or 3 points. Participants should read each metric carefully and answer the question with as much accuracy as possible, drawing upon multiple sources where possible. It is vital sufficient investigation is completed for each metric to give a fair and accurate representation of your institution.

Most of the Curriculum metrics are graded by inclusion in **elective** coursework, **brief** coverage in the **core curriculum** or **in depth** coverage in the **core curriculum**.

Elective coursework: This score applies to material that is actively selected by the students such as a module choice, or additional lecture series. By implication, only a given proportion of the cohort will receive this taught material.

Brief coverage in the **core curriculum**: This score applies where a topic is covered only briefly in a core curriculum session. This implies that the entire cohort receives the same material. Brief inclusion would qualify as inclusion in a single lecture slide in a single year.

In depth coverage in the core curriculum: This score applies where a topic is taught in significant detail or where a topic is repeatedly brought up in different years. This might look like several dedicated lecture slides, or inclusion of the same topic in different lectures and teaching formats. Please consider amongst your team that this is the highest score awarded and a subjective decision must be made as to whether the topic should be awarded this score.

(A full list of definitions is provided on the below pages)

• Types of evidence. Acceptable forms of evidence include: lecture titles, learning objectives, module descriptions, descriptions of the intended learning, case titles, seminar titles, project titles, webpages, researcher profiles / biographies, news articles, publications, social media output, institutional policy documents. Please be as specific as possible.

It is essential that you have clearly justified the score for each metric, outlining in the box provided the specific content delivered in your curriculum and why you have assigned the

score. Each report card is reviewed by a member of the leadership team for accuracy and consistency across report cards. An example of the sufficient level of evidence is provided below each metric.

Please do not include **lecturers' names** without permission. The title of the lecture or module with a brief description of the material will suffice.

Where material is publicly available via an institution's website, please include hyperlinks to the webpages.

• Evidence deadline. Any material from the previous academic year and the current academic year up to the draft deadline of the 17th February 2025 may be included in this report card. Any teaching planned after this date should not be scored in this report card but can be included in the 2025/26 report. You may wish to make a note of any such teaching for your colleagues producing next year's report card.

Definitions & Other Considerations

Definitions:

- Planetary Health: is described by the Planetary Health Alliance as "the health of human civilisation and the state of the natural systems on which it depends." For example, topics such as climate change, declining biodiversity, shortages of arable land and freshwater, and pollution would all fall under the realm of planetary health. Both planetary health and traditional 'environmental health' examine the relationship between human health and the external environment, including extreme temperatures, chemicals, vector-borne diseases, etc. Planetary health explicitly concerns itself with the potential health harms associated with human-caused perturbations of natural systems. Therefore, the human health focus of planetary health makes the field well-adapted for the context of medical school education. Throughout this report card, we use the term planetary health to refer to this broad swath of topics, but resources do not need to explicitly include the term "planetary health" to satisfy the metric.
- Sustainable Healthcare: As defined by the Academy of Royal Colleges, sustainable healthcare involves ensuring the ability to provide good quality care for future generations by balancing the economic, environmental, and social constraints and demands within health care settings. A sustainable healthcare system maintains population health, reduces disease burden and minimises use of healthcare services.
- Education for Sustainable Healthcare (ESH): is defined as the process of equipping current and future health professionals with the knowledge, attitudes, skills and capacity to provide environmentally sustainable services through health professional education, thus working to decrease the enormous environmental impact of the healthcare industry. Planetary Health Education is an integral part of this education rather than an end in itself. This is because knowledge on Planetary Health is required to be able to fully understand the necessity of sustainable healthcare as well as being part of the broader knowledge needed to fully protect and promote health. In summary, ESH is covered by the three Priority Learning Outcomes of the Centre of Sustainable Healthcare below, and Planetary Health Education is embraced in the first learning objective and is a fundamental requirement to achieve learning outcomes 2 and 3:
 - 1. Describe how the environment and human health interact at different levels.
 - 2. Demonstrate the knowledge and skills needed to improve the environmental sustainability of health systems.
 - 3. Discuss how the duty of a doctor to protect and promote health is shaped by the dependence of human health on the local and global environment.
- Medical School/Department vs. Institution: When "Medical school" is specified in the report card, this only refers to curriculum and resources offered by the School/department of Medicine and does not include offerings from other parts of the university (e.g. undergraduate departments (USA), other related departments (e.g. Public Health, Population Health departments). In contrast, when "institution" is specified in the report card, we are referring to the university more broadly including all of its campuses. Any resource reasonably accessible by medical students, no matter where in the institution the resource comes from or if it is

specifically targeted for medical students, can meet this metric.

- Environmental history (Metric #19 in Curriculum Section): This is a series of questions students are taught to ask during medical encounters that elicits patients' exposures and environmental risk factors. Historically, this has included consideration of exposures like pesticides, asbestos, and lead, though in the modern era shaped by climate change, it can be expanded to include things like wildfire smoke exposure, air pollution and mould after flooding. Key components include place of residence over the lifecourse, occupational history, food and water sources (e.g. meat from industrial feeding operations, regular fishing in contaminated water, access to clean drinking water), and exposure to air pollution. Please be as specific as possible when providing evidence for this metric.
- **Elective:** The word "elective" refers to an optional course or lecture series that a medical student can opt to take part in but is not a requirement in the core curriculum. Generally, these elective courses take place in the preclinical curriculum but vary by school.
- Core Curriculum: This refers to taught material that is develored to the entire cohort of students in one year.
- Clerkship / Outreach: This is a term used in the USA to refer to placements that medical students go on e.g. Pediatrics, General medicine, Psychiatry. In the UK these are referred to as rotations, outreach or placements. This is a relatively short (approximately 4-8 weeks) period of study and patient-centred clinical experience that takes place as part of the undergraduate programme.
- Clinical rotation: This is a term used to refer to placements that students go on (e.g., ophthalmology, surgery, cardiology).
- **Physiotherapy vs Physical Therapy:** For the purposes of this report card these terms are considered interchangeable. However, physiotherapy will be used primarily.
- Community organisations: For most institutions, there are existing groups that are not directly affiliated with the university and exist as a product of what the community the institution exists in cares about or needs. These specific community organisations relevant to this report include those that are focused around some aspect of climate and health preservation. These community organisations can include but are not limited to local mutual aid initiatives, underserved-resource distribution groups, clean-up and nature conservation groups, community gardeners, and other environmental-related organisations. If your institution does not have access to local volunteerships with community groups, please report any community organisations your institution or school has collaborated with.
- Climate justice: The idea that certain population groups and geographical locations
 which are disproportionately more impacted by climate change are already
 economically and socially disadvantaged. This double vulnerability sits alongside
 pre-existing social justice concerns and should therefore shift policy and practice to
 mitigate the inequitable effects of the climate crisis.
- Extractivisim: The removal of natural resources typically in large quantities. Within anthropology this term is often used in the context of colonialism to refer to

the historic seizing of natural resources, a practice which has developed business models tied to ecological degradation and loss of biodiversity.

- Global South: Nations that often have less economic and industrial development and are typically in the southern hemisphere. These nations have been found to be disproportionately impacted by the climate crisis.
- Low socioeconomic status (SES): An individual or geographical area that across a variety of socioeconomic factors (e.g., income, education, race/ethnicity) is considered vulnerable. This vulnerability has been correlated to more adverse health outcomes often as a consequence of encountering more barriers in accessing and receiving healthcare.
- Low and Middle-Income Countries (LMIC): Countries that have lower degrees of economic affluence.
- **Anthropogenic:** Created through human activity
- Marginalized communities: Groups excluded from mainstream economic, educational, social, and/or cultural experiences due to race, gender identity, sexual orientation, age, physical ability, language, and/or immigration status (Sevelius et al., 2020).

Other considerations:

• If there are more than one "tracks" at your institution with two different curricula (for example, Harvard Medical School has a Pathways and HST curriculum track), you can choose to fill out a report card for each track, or fill out just one report card and average the scores received by each track in cases where the scores are different (see the 2021 Harvard or Oxford report cards as examples). Where possible please indicate the proportion of students that are on each track.

Completed in 2022 a <u>Literature Review by Metric</u> is available for the 2022 medicine report card metrics. We are in the process of updating this review and making it more applicable to all the disciplines. However the review serves as a rough collection of references for further learning and a resource for those advocating for increased planetary health engagement at their institutions.

Planetary Health Curriculum

<u>Section Overview:</u> This section evaluates the integration of relevant planetary health topics into the medical school curriculum. Today's medical students will be on the frontlines of tackling the health effects of climate and other environmental changes. Therefore, it is critical that medical students are trained to understand the health effects of these changes, as well as planetary health issues and principles more broadly. Topics like the changing geography of vector-borne diseases, the health consequences of air pollution, environmental health inequities, and disaster response principles must be part of every medical school's core curriculum.

Curriculum: General

1.1. Did your <u>medical school</u> offer elective courses (student selected modules) to engage students in Education for Sustainable Healthcare or Planetary Health in the last year?

Yes, the medical school has offered **more than one** elective whose primary focus is ESH/planetary health in the past year. (3 points)

Yes, the medical school has offered **one** elective whose primary focus is ESH/planetary health in the past year. (2 points)

The medical school does **not** have any electives whose primary focus is ESH/planetary health, but there are one or more electives that include a **lecture** on planetary health. (1 points)

No, the medical school has **not** offered any electives on planetary health or electives that include ESH/planetary health topics in the past year. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

Score explanation: At the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto (FMUP), "INOVPED: Health, well-being and architecture" is an elective offered to all students of the University of Porto (not just medical students) that is based in FMUP. Curriculum includes two classes that focus on: 1. Climate Change and Extreme Weather Events as Health Determinants and 2. More sustainable construction techniques.

Curriculum: Health Effects of Climate Change

1.2. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address the relationship between extreme heat, health risks, and climate change?

This topic was explored **in depth** by the **core** curriculum. (3 points)

This topic was **briefly** covered in the **core** curriculum. (2 points)

This topic was covered in **elective** coursework. (1 point)

This topic was **not** covered. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

3

Score explanation: Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto second and third years curriculum included lectures on the impacts of climate change on health, in the classes of Preventive Medicine and Clinical Immunology*.

*The article discussed in this course was: von Hertzen L, Beutler B, Bienenstock J, Blaser M, Cani PD, Eriksson J, Färkkilä M, Haahtela T, Hanski I, Jenmalm MC, Kere J, Knip M, Kontula K, Koskenvuo M, Ling C, Mandrup-Poulsen T, von Mutius E, Mäkelä MJ, Paunio T, Pershagen G, Renz H, Rook G, Saarela M, Vaarala O, Veldhoen M, de Vos WM. Helsinki alert of biodiversity and health. Ann Med. 2015 May;47(3):218-25

Additionally, In the Populations' Health curricular unit, first year students explore, among many other themes, climate change and its potential impact on the health of populations. The extreme heat resulting from global warming is one of the topics covered, highlighting the various conditions that it can cause, such as exhaustion and dehydration, highlighting the risks to the elderly, children, or individuals with pre-existing medical conditions.

1.3. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address the impacts of extreme weather events on individual health and/or on healthcare systems?

This topic was explored **in depth** by the **core** curriculum. (3 points)

This topic was **briefly** covered in the **core** curriculum. (2 points)

This topic was covered in **elective** coursework. (1 point)

This topic was **not** covered. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

2

Score explanation: In the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto, in the 1st year curricular unit of Populations' Health, the possibility of the occurrence of more frequent and severe weather events, changes in precipitation patterns and changes in ecosystems are some of the topics covered. Students discuss the threat significance that these events present, particularly in more vulnerable populations, as well as its impact on health systems.

1.4. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address the impact of climate change on the changing patterns of infectious diseases?

This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum. (3 points)

This topic was **briefly** covered in the **core** curriculum. (2 points)

This topic was covered in **elective** coursework. (1 point)

This topic was **not** covered. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

2

Score explanation: In the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto, in the Populations' Health curricular unit in year 1, infectious disease patterns are briefly addressed in relation to climate change. Students interpret the relationship between changes in temperature and precipitation patterns, the creation of suitable conditions for the propagation of insects and disease-carrying pathogens, such as malaria, dengue fever and Lyme disease.

1.5. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address the respiratory health effects of climate change and air pollution?		
This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.		
This topic was briefly covered in the core curriculum.		
This topic was covered in elective coursework.		
This topic was not covered.		
Score Assigned:	2	

Score explanation: At the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto in the Clinical Immunology module in year 3, there is a lecture on the impact of air pollution in the development of respiratory diseases, namely asthma. One article presented and discussed in this class was Paciência I, Cavaleiro Rufo J, Moreira A. Environmental inequality: Air pollution and asthma in children. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2022 Jun; 33(6).

In some Pulmonology lectures (year 4) climate change and air pollution are mentioned as a risk factor for the discussed disease, for example COPD and lung cancer, however they are not explored in depth.

Additionally, in the Pediatrics module in year 5, there was a class about respiratory infections in which was discussed the influence of atmospheric conditions in RSV infection in Portugal. Finally, in the curricular unit of Populations' Health, it is highlighted how air pollution is a risk factor for the development and/or worsening of cardiovascular and respiratory diseases.

1.6. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address the cardiovascular health effects of climate change, including increased heat		
This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.		
This topic was briefly covered in the core curriculum.		
This topic was covered in elective coursework.		
This topic was not covered.		
Score Assigned:	0	

Score explanation: No curriculum content, core or elective, identified to support this metric.

1.7. Does your medical school curriculum address the mental health and neuropsychologic	cal
effects of environmental degradation and climate change?	

This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.

This topic was **briefly** covered in the **core** curriculum.

This topic was covered in **elective** coursework.

This topic was **not** covered.

Score Assigned:

2

Score explanation: At the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto, in the Preventive Medicine module in year 2, there is a class on "Mental Health, Depression, Anxiety and Stress" in which the impact of environmental degradation and climate change on mental health is mentioned. Additionally, in the curricular unit Populations' Health, first year students address climate change and its potential impact on the health of populations, namely the way in which, in events of extreme climate conditions, mental health can be compromised, with the risk of development of anxiety, stress and depression.

1.8. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address the relationships between health, individual patient food and water security, ecosystem health, and climate change?

This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.

This topic was **briefly** covered in the **core** curriculum.

This topic was covered in **elective** coursework.

This topic was **not** covered.

Score Assigned:

1 2

Score explanation: At the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto, in the Medical Microbiology II module in year 3, there is a class on "Food security" in which the main focus is diseases that originate from food and infections due to water contamination. The concept of One Health is also addressed in this class.

Additionally, in the Populations' Health curricular unit, among the possible consequences of climate change, the effect of climate change on food production is explored, leading to scarcity or even malnutrition, as well as the effect of changes in the standard of precipitation on the availability of clean water, which can worsen disease transmission by water.

marginalised populations such as thos Indigenous communities, children, hor	se with low SES, women, communities of colour, meless populations, and older adults?	
This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.		
This topic was briefly covered in the co r	re curriculum.	
This topic was covered in elective coursework.		
This topic was not covered.	_	
Score Assigned:	2	
Score explanation: At Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto, the Populations' Health curricular unit, in year 1, briefly addresses the urgent need to reduce the impact of climate change, especially considering the unequal burden between different population groups.		
1.10. Does your <u>medical school</u> curricu climate change globally?	ulum address the unequal regional health impacts of	
This topic was explored in depth by the	core curriculum.	
This topic was briefly covered in the core curriculum.		
This topic was covered in elective coursework.		
This topic was not covered.		
Score Assigned:	0	
Score explanation: No curriculum content, core or elective, identified to support this metric.		
Curriculum: Environmental Health & the Effects of Anthropogenic Toxins on Human Health		
1.11. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address the reproductive health effects of industry-related environmental toxins (e.g. air pollution, pesticides)?		
This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.		
This topic was briefly covered in the core curriculum.		
This topic was covered in elective coursework.		
This topic was not covered.		
Score Assigned:	2	
Score explanation: At the Faculty of Med	dicine of the University of Porto, this topic is covered in lule in Year 3, which mentions the harmful action of	

chemical and biological agents as a cause of infertility. Additionally, in the Nephrology and

Urology module in Year 5, there is one lecture on Infertility that includes one slide and a table on the impact of exposure to gonadotoxins (such as radiation, pesticides, excessive heat, solvents and tobacco smoke) on male fertility.

1.12. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address important human-caused environmental threats that are relevant to the university's surrounding community?		
This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.		
This topic was briefly covered in the core curriculum.		
This topic was covered in elective coursework.		
This topic was not covered.		
Score Assigned:	0	
Score explanation: No curriculum content, core or elective, identified to support this metric.		

1.13. To what extent does your <u>medical school</u> emphasise the importance of Indigenous knowledge and value systems as essential components of planetary health solutions?		
This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.		
This topic was briefly covered in the core curriculum.		
This topic was covered in elective coursework.		
This topic was not covered.		
Score Assigned:	0	
Score explanation: No curriculum content, core or elective, identified to support this metric.		

1.14. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address the outsized impact of anthropogenic environmental toxins on marginalised populations such as those with low SES, women, communities of colour, children, homeless populations, Indigenous populations, and older adults?

This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.

This topic was **briefly** covered in the **core** curriculum.

This topic was covered in **elective** coursework.

This topic was not covered.	
Score Assigned:	0
Score explanation: No curriculum content, core or elective, identified to support this metric.	

Curriculum: Sustainability

1.15. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address the environmental and health co-benefits of a plant-based diet?		
This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.		
This topic was briefly covered in the core curriculum.		
This topic was covered in elective coursework.		
This topic was not covered.		
Score Assigned:	0	
Score explanation: No curriculum content, core or elective, identified to support this metric.		

1.16. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum address the carbon footprint of healthcare systems?		
This topic was explored in depth by the core curriculum.		
This topic was briefly covered in the core curriculum.		
This topic was covered in elective coursework.		
This topic was not covered.		
Score Assigned:	0	
Score explanation: No curriculum content, core or elective, identified to support this metric.		

1.17. Does your <u>medical school</u> curriculum cover these components of sustainable clinical practice in the <u>core</u> curriculum? (points for each)	
The health and environmental co-benefits of avoiding over-medicalisation, over-investigation and/or over-treatment (2 points)	2
The environmental impact of pharmaceuticals and over-prescribing as a cause of climate health harm. Alternatively teaching on deprescribing where possible and its environmental and health co-benefits would fulfil this metric. (2 points).	

The health and environmental co-benefits of non-pharmaceutical management of conditions where appropriate such as exercise or yoga classes for type 2 diabetes; social group activities such as gardening for mental health conditions; active transport such as bicycle schemes. This is commonly known as social prescribing in the UK. (1 point)	1
Environmental impact of surgical healthcare on planetary health and the climate crisis, and how can it be mitigated. (1 point)	0
The impact of anaesthetic gases on the healthcare carbon footprint and ways to reduce anaestheisa's environmental impacts, such as total intravenous anaesthesia or choosing less environmentally harmful anaesthetic gas options with reduced greenhouse gas emissions. (1 point)	0
The impact of inhalers on the healthcare carbon footprint and the environmental benefit of dry powdered inhalers over metered dose inhalers. (1 point)	
Waste production within healthcare clinics and strategies for reducing waste in clinical activities (e.g. single use items in the inpatient or outpatient setting) (1 point)	0

Score explanation: At Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto in the Pharmacology modules (I and II) in year 3, there are various mentions across all lectures of the importance of avoiding over-medicalisation, over-investigation and over-treatment due to their impact on health and the environment. Additionally, in the Public Health module, in year 6, the students divided into small working groups were presented with a public health problem and were told to brainstorm possible solutions, which included not only but also non-pharmacological measures.

Curriculum: Clinical Applications

1.18. In training for patient encounters, does your medical school's curriculum introduce strategies to have conversations with patients about the health effects of climate change? Yes, there are strategies introduced for having conversations with patients about climate change in the core curriculum. (2 points) Yes, there are strategies introduced for having conversations with patients about climate change in elective coursework. (1 points) No, there are not strategies introduced for having conversations with patients about climate change. (0 points) Score Assigned: 0 Score explanation: No curriculum content, core or elective, identified to support this metric.

1.19. In training for patient encounters, does your <u>medical school's</u> curriculum introduce strategies for taking an environmental history or exposure history?

Yes, the **core** curriculum includes strategies for taking an environmental history. (2 points)

Only **elective** coursework includes strategies for taking an environmental history. (1 point)

No, the curriculum does not include strategies for taking an environmental history. (0 points)	
Score Assigned:	2
Score explanation: At Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto students are taught the	

Score explanation: At Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto students are taught the importance of questioning patients about their exposure history (such as tobacco, radiation, travelling, home environment, occupational exposure, etc.). This is mainly addressed in the Medical Propedeutics and Surgical Propedeutics modules in year 3.

Additionally, in year 1, in the Curricular Unit of Humanities in Medicine, students study and apply the skills underlying clinical communication, including obtaining psychosocial history and the possibility of exposure to harmful materials.

Curriculum: Administrative Support for Planetary Health

1.20. Is your <u>medical school</u> currently in the process of implementing or improving Education for Sustainable Healthcare (ESH)/planetary health education?		
Yes, the medical school is currently in the process of making major improvements to ESH/planetary health education. (4 points)		
Yes, the medical school is currently in the process of making minor improvements to ESH/planetary health education. (2 points)		
No, there are no improvements to planetary health education in progress. (0 points)		
Score Assigned: 0		
Score explanation: No evidence identified to support this metric.		

1.21. How well are the aforementioned planetary health/Education for Sust	tainable
Healthcare topics integrated longitudinally into the <u>core</u> curriculum?	

Planetary health/ESH topics are well integrated into the core medical school curriculum. (6 points)

Some planetary health/ESH topics are appropriately integrated into the core medical student curriculum. (4 points)

Planetary health/ESH is not integrated and is primarily addressed in **(a) standalone lecture(s).** (2 points)

There is **minimal/no** education for sustainable healthcare. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

Score explanation: At Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto, Planetary health/ESH is scarcely mentioned throughout the modules, mainly in year 3, however there is a lack of modules, core or elective, dedicated to these topics.

2

1.22. Does your medical school employ a member of faculty to specifically oversee and take responsibility for the incorporation of planetary health and sustainable healthcare as a theme throughout the course?

Yes, the medical school has a specific faculty/staff member responsible for overseeing curricular integration of planetary health and sustainable healthcare. (1 point)

No, the medical school does not have a specific faculty/staff member responsible for overseeing curricular integration of planetary health and sustainable healthcare. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

Section Total (25 out of 72)	35%
Section Total (25 out of 72)	5570

Score explanation: No evidence identified to support this metric.

Back to Summary Page here

_

Interdisciplinary Research

Section Overview: This section evaluates the quality and quantity of interdisciplinary planetary health research at the broader institution. Interactions between health and the environment are complex and multifactorial. While climate change has been extensively studied from an environmental science perspective, planetary health is an emerging field. As leading health institutions with talented researchers and research resources, institutions should fund research studying the health effects of climate change and anthropogenic environmental toxins. This obligation is particularly strong because the public and policymakers are more attentive to climate change when its implications for human health are emphasised.

2.1. Are there researchers engaged in planetary health research and healthcare sustainability research at your <u>institution</u>?

Yes, there are faculty members at the **institution** who have a **primary** research focus in planetary health **or** sustainable healthcare/vetcare. (3 points)

Yes, there are individual faculty members at the **institution** who are conducting research **related** to planetary health or healthcare sustainability, OR are part of a national/international sustainability working group, but it is not their primary research focus. (2 points)

There are sustainability researchers at the **institution**, but not specifically associated with healthcare/vetcare. (1 point)

No, there are **no** planetary health and/or sustainability researchers at the **institution** at this time. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

Score explanation: The Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto is the workplace of many professors that are conducting research related to planetary health and healthcare sustainability, namely:

- Professor Carla Lopes has some projects that address nutrition and its relationship with the environment:
 - 1. Dose-response relationships in health risk assessment of nutritional and toxicological factors in foods
 - 2. Portuguese Dietary Survey (2012-2015) Support to national dietary surveys in compliance with the EFSA Guidance on General principles for the collection of national food consumption data in the view of a pan-European dietary survey
- Professor Henrique Barros also has projects/articles in the area of urbanisation/gentrification and climate:
 - 1. HUG: The health impacts of inner-city gentrification, displacement and housing insecurity: a quasi-experimental multi-cohort study
 - 2. Public Health Aspects of Climate Change Adaptation in Three Cities: A Qualitative Study. 10.3390/ijerph191610292
- Professor Elisabete Ramos:
 - 1. HEALS Health and Environment-wide Associations based on Large population Surveys
- Professor João Vasco

- 1. "BEST-COST", a new research project that aims to contribute to policies and practices that reduce the "burden" of the disease, promoting more and better health.
- Professor Bernardo Sousa Pinto
 - 1. Catalyse Climate Action To Advance Healthy Societies in Europe

2.2. Is there a dedicated department or institute for interdisciplinary planetary health research at your <u>institution</u>?

There is **at least one** dedicated department or institute for interdisciplinary planetary health research. (3 points)

There is **not currently** a department or institute for interdisciplinary planetary health research, but there are **plans** to open one in the next 3 years. (2 points)

There is an **Occupational and Environmental Health department**, but no interdisciplinary department or institute for planetary health research. (1 points)

There is **no** dedicated department or institute. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

1

Score explanation: The Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto has an Occupational department (FMUP - Núcleo de Saúde Ocupacional)

2.3. Is there a process by which communities disproportionately impacted by climate change and environmental injustice give input or make decisions about the research agenda at your institution?

Yes, there is a process in which community members impacted by climate and environmental injustice have **decision-making power** in the climate + environmental research agenda. (3 points)

Yes, there is a process in which community members impacted by climate and environmental injustice **advise** the climate + environmental research agenda. (2 points)

No, but there are **current efforts** to establish a process for community members to advise or make decisions on the research agenda. (1 points)

There is **no** process, and **no** efforts to create such a process. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

0

Score explanation: No evidence identified to support this metric.

2.4. Does your <u>institution</u> have a planetary health website that centralises ongoing and past research related to health and the environment?

There is an **easy-to-use**, **adequately comprehensive** website that **centralises** various campus resources related to health and the environment including all of the following: upcoming events, leaders in planetary health at your institution, and relevant funding opportunities. (3 points)

There is a website that **attempts to centralise** various campus resources related to health and the environment, but it is hard-to-use, not updated, or not adequately comprehensive. (2 points)

The **institution** has an **Office of Sustainability website** that includes **some** resources related to health and the environment. (1 point)

There is **no** website. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

1

Score explanation: At University of Porto, the School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences has a website dedicated to One Health with the mission "to train students with a holistic perspective across the human, animal and environmental sectors, and to promote interdisciplinary and synergistic research in order to improve public health for all". This website shares many articles, events and news on this topic.

2.5. Has your <u>institution</u> recently hosted a conference or symposium on topics related to planetary health?

Yes, the **institution** has hosted at least one conference or symposium on topics related to planetary health in the past year. (4 points)

Yes, the **institution** has hosted at least one conference or symposium on topics related to sustainable healthcare/vetcare in the past year. (3 points)

Yes, the **institution** has hosted a conference on topics related to planetary health / sustianable healthcare/vetcare in the past three years. (2 points)

The **institution** has not hosted any conferences directly, but they have provided financial support for a local planetary health event. (1 point)

No, the **institution** has not hosted a conference on topics related to planetary health in the past three years. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

3

Score explanation: The University of Porto has hosted more than one conference or symposium on topics related to planetary health in 2023, namely:

- "Epidemiology to build the future" hosted between 5th and 8th of September 2023. You can consult the program here.
- 4th <u>PlantEd</u> Conference hosted between 18th and 20th of September 2023. This conference presented the latest advances in plant breeding research, fundamental to facing challenges related to population growth, sustainable agriculture and climate change.

- Expo One Health: Porto One Health Day was hosted between 2nd and 4th of November 2023. This event aims to highlight the relevance of the One Health concept and approach, and the impacts they have on the daily lives of all of us, communicating science through practical demonstrations, aimed at all audiences.

2.6. Is your <u>institution</u> a member of a national or international planetary health or ESH/ESV organisation?		
Yes, the institution is a member of a national or international planetary health or ESH/ESV organisation. (1 points)		
No, the institution is not a member of such an organisation. (0 points)		
Score Assigned: 1		
Score explanation: Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto is a member of Eugloh.		
Score explanation: Insert explanation here.		

Section Total (8 out of 17) 47%

Back to Summary Page <u>here</u>

Community Outreach and Advocacy

Section Overview: This section evaluates medical school engagement in community outreach and advocacy efforts associated with planetary health. Researching and teaching planetary health is necessary but not sufficient. It is critical that institutions also directly engage with communities most affected by environmental health harms. Although climate change is a problem largely created by those with power and resources, its impacts fall disproportionately on under-resourced populations and communities of colour. Institutions should partner with local communities affected by climate change and pollution to share information about environmental health threats, advocate together for change, and provide opportunities for students to be a part of this work.

3.1. Does your <u>institution</u> partner with community organisations to promote planetary and health?		
Yes, the institution meaningfully partners with multiple community organisations to promote planetary and environmental health. (3 points)		
Yes, the institution meaningfully partners with one community organisation to promote planetary and environmental health. (2 points)		
The institution does not partner with community organisations, but has participating in community focused events relating to planetary health. (1 point)		
No, there is no such meaningful community partnership. (0 points)		
Score Assigned:	0	
Score explanation: No evidence identified to support this metric.		

3.2. Does your <u>institution</u> offer community-facing courses or events regarding planetary health?	
The institution offers community-facing courses or events at least once every year. (3 points)	
The institution offers courses or events open to the community at least once per year, but they are not primarily created for a community audience. (2 points)	
The institution has promoted community-facing courses or events, but was not involved in planning those courses or events. (1 point)	
The institution/medical school have not offered such community-facing courses or events. (0 points)	
Score Assigned:	1

Score explanation: University of Porto and the schools integrated in it hosted events regarding planetary health and, although our medical school was not directly involved in the organisation, these were open to all students of the institution, for instance:

- One Health Talks: organised by the School of Medicine and Biomedical Sciences, it took place on the 13th of december 2023 and focused on the One Health vision on diarrheal disease in Africa.
- "One Health Core Competencies": from 16th of october to 11th of december 2023, this online course lasts 8 weeks and is designed for approximately 90 working hours (3 ECTS), including 30 hours working with online material and meetings and around 60 hours of self-study and project work.

3.3. Does your institution have regular coverage of issues related to plan	etary health and/or
sustainable healthcare in university update communications?	

Yes, all students **regularly** receive communication updates dedicated to planetary health and/or sustainable healthcare. (2 points)

Yes, planetary health and/or sustainable healthcare topics are regularly included in communication updates to **some courses**. (1 point)

Students **do not** receive communications about planetary health or sustainable healthcare. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

1

Score explanation: The Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto occasionally shares via email the events mentioned in section 3.2 and has a <u>newsletter</u> in which sometimes are shared the participation of professors of FMUP in projects and studies related to pollution, climate change, etc.

3.4. Does the <u>institution</u> or <u>main affiliated hospital trust</u> engage in professional education activities targeting individuals post graduation with the aim of ensuring their knowledge and skills in planetary health and sustainable healthcare remain up to date during their professional career?

Yes, the **institution** or **main affiliated hospital trust** offers multiple in-person or online courses relating to planetary health and/or sustainable healthcare for post-graduate providers, including at least one with a primary focus of planetary health. (2 points)

Yes, the **institution** or **main affiliated hospital trust** offers one course relating to planetary health and/or sustainable healthcare for post-graduate provider. (1 point)

There are **no** such accessible courses for post-graduate providers. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

2

Score explanation: At the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto there are multiple post-graduate courses relating to planetary health and/or sustainable healthcare as a primary focus.

3.5. Does your <u>institution</u> or its <u>affiliated teaching hospitals</u> have accessible educational materials for patients about environmental health exposures?		
Yes, the medical school or all affiliated hospitals have accessible educational materials for patients. (2 points)		
Some affiliated hospitals have accessible educational materials for patients. (1 point)		
No affiliated medical centres have accessible educational materials for patients. (0 points)		
Score Assigned:	1	
Score explanation: University Hospital Center of São João has accessible educational material on risk of reaction exposures for patients to consult.		

3.6. Does your <u>institution</u> or its <u>affiliated teaching hospitals</u> have accessible educational materials for patients about the health impacts of climate change?	
Yes, the medical school or all affiliated hospitals have accessible educational materials for patients. (2 points)	
Some affiliated hospitals have accessible educational materials for patients. (1 point)	
No affiliated hospitals have accessible educational materials for patients. (0 points)	
Score Assigned:	2
Score explanation: University Hospital Center of São João and Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto have accessible educational material, namely "Consequence of heat or cold weather. i) Shocks to health; Exposure at air pollution" (with specific outpatient consultations).	

Section Total (7 out of 14)	50%
-----------------------------	-----

Back to Summary Page <u>here</u>

Support for Student-Led Planetary Health Initiatives

<u>Section Overview:</u> This section evaluates institutional support for student-led planetary health initiatives, such as funding, fellowships, programming, and student groups. Planetary health is a young field and, as young people facing a future deeply shaped by climate change, students are often some of the first at an institution to engage with it. Institutions should provide support for students to engage in sustainability quality improvement (QI) initiatives, discover mentors in their area of interest, and receive funding for planetary health projects.

4.1. Does your <u>institution</u> offer support for students interested in enacting a sustainability initiative/QI project?	
Yes, the institution <i>either</i> offers grants for students to enact sustainability initiatives/QI projects <i>or</i> sustainability QI projects are part of the core curriculum. (2 points)	
The institution encourages sustainability QI projects (to fulfil clerkship or longitudinal requirements) and offers resources to help students succeed in these projects, but there is no student funding available and there is no requirement to participate. (1 point)	
No, neither the medical school or the institution offer opportunities or support for sustainability initiatives or QI projects. (0 points)	
Score Assigned:	1
Score explanation: The Institution encourages sustainability, however it has no specific foundation	

4.2. Does your <u>institution</u> offer opportunities for students to do research related to planetary health and/or sustainable healthcare/vetcare?

The **institution** has a **specific** research program or fellowship for students interested in doing planetary health/sustainable healthcare/vetcare research. (2 points)

There are research opportunities for students to perform research related to planetary health/sustainable healthcare, but these **require student initiative** to seek these out and carry them out in their spare time. (1 point)

2

There are **no opportunities** for students to engage in planetary health/sustainable healthcare research. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

Score explanation: The Institution has a Program in pulmonary restrictive diseases (PhD, consultation and research)

4.3. Does the <u>institution</u> have a webpage where students can find specific information related to planetary health and/or sustainable healthcare/vetcare activities and mentors within the

institution? For example, projects achieved, current initiatives underway at the medical school and/or contact of information of potential mentors.		
The institution has a webpage with specific information related to planetary health or sustainable healthcare/vetcare that includes up-to-date information on relevant initiatives and contact information of potential mentors. (2 points)		
There is an institution webpage that features some information on projects and mentors within planetary health and sustainable healthcare within the medical school, but it lacks key information. (1 point)		
There is no institution specific webpage for locat projects or mentors. (0 points)	ting planetary health and/or sustainable healthcare	
Score Assigned:	0	
Score explanation: No evidence identified to supp	ort this metric.	
4.4. Does your <u>institution</u> have registered stude culture of planetary health engagement, schola faculty advisors?		
Yes, there is a student organisation with faculty s planetary health or sustainability in healthcare. (2	* *	
Yes, there is a student organisation at my medical school dedicated to planetary health or sustainability in healthcare but it lacks faculty support. (1 point)		
No, there is not a student organisation at my institution dedicated to planetary health or sustainability in healthcare. (0 points)		
Score Assigned:	1	
Score explanation: There is a Students Association University of Porto involved in the development of		
4.5. Is there a student liaison representing sustainability interests who serves on a <u>department or institutional</u> decision-making council to advocate for curriculum reform and/or sustainability best practices?		
Yes, there is a student representative that serves on a department or institutional decision-making council/committee. (1 points)		
No, there is no such student representative. (0 points)		
Score Assigned:	1	

Score explanation: Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto's Students are represented in the Pedagogic and Representative Councils.

4.6. In the past year, has the <u>institution</u> had one or more co-curricular planetary health programs or initiatives in the following categories? (1 point each)	
Projects where students are able to gain experience in organic agriculture and sustainable food systems, such as gardens, farms, community supported agriculture (CSA), fishery programs, or urban agriculture projects.	0
Panels, speaker series, or similar events related to planetary health that have students as an intended audience.	0
Events in which students learn directly from members of a local environmental justice community about the climate and environmental challenges they face, and how health professionals can partner with their community to address these exposures and impacts.	0
Cultural arts events, installations or performances related to planetary health that have students as an intended audience.	0
Local volunteer opportunities related to building community resilience to anthropogenic environmental impacts.	0
Wilderness or outdoors programs (e.g., that organise hiking, backpacking, kayaking, or other outings for students)	1
Score explanation: The Students' Association of Faculty of Medicine of the University of Po (AEFMUP) promotes multiple outdoor activities.	rto

Section Total (6 out of 15)	
-----------------------------	--

Back to Summary Page <u>here</u>

Campus Sustainability

Section Overview: This section evaluates the support and engagement in sustainability initiatives by the institution. The healthcare industry is a major contributor to greenhouse gas emissions as well as pollution that harms local, regional, and global ecosystems. While healthcare is, by nature, a resource-intensive endeavour, the healthcare sector is well poised to lead the world to a more sustainable future. This will involve scrutinising every aspect of how our systems operate, from where we source our energy, to how we build our infrastructure, to what companies we invest in. Our medical schools, clinics, and hospitals must set the standard for sustainable practices, and show other sectors what is possible when it comes to minimising environmental impact.

Yes, there is an Office of Sustainability with multiple full-time staff dedicated to campus sustainability. If the Office of Sustainability serves the entire campus, there is at least one designated staff member for sustainability at the hospital. (3 points) There is an Office of Sustainability with one or more full-time staff dedicated to campus sustainability, but no specific staff member in charge of hospital sustainability. (2 points) There are no salaried sustainability staff, but there is a sustainability task force or committee. (1 point) There are no staff members or task force responsible for overseeing campus sustainability. (0 points) Score Assigned: 2 Score explanation: There is a Specific group responsible for energetic sustainability in the University Hospital Center of São João and the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto.

5.2. How ambitious is your <u>institution's</u> plan to reduce its own carbon footprint?		
The institution has a written and approved plan to achieve carbon neutrality by 2030 (5 points)		
The institution has a written and approved plan to achieve carbon neutrality by 2040 (3 points)		
The institution has a stated goal of carbon neutrality by 2040 but has not created a plan to reach that goal or the plan is inadequate (1 point)		
The institution/medical school does not meet any of the requirements listed above (0 points)		
Score Assigned:	0	
Score explanation: No evidence identified to support this metric.		

5.3. Do buildings/infrastructure used by the institution for teaching (not including the hospital) utilize renewable energy?			
Yes institution buildings are 100% powered by re	enewable energy. (3 points)		
Institution buildings source >80% of energy need (2 points)	ls from off-site and/or on-site renewable energy.		
Institution buildings source >20% of energy needs from off-site and/or on-site renewable energy. (1 point)			
Institution buildings source <20% of energy needs from off-site and/or on-site renewable energy. (0 points)			
Score Assigned:	1		
Score explanation: Faculty of Medicine of the Un	iversity of Porto is currently installing solar		

5.4. Are sustainable building practices utilised for new and old buildings on the <u>institution's</u> campus, with design and construction of new buildings and remodelling of old buildings conforming to a published sustainability rating system or building code/guideline?

Yes, sustainable building practices are utilised for new buildings on the intitution's campus and the **majority** of old buildings **have been retrofitted** to be more sustainable. (3 points)

Sustainable building practices are utilised for new buildings on the institution's campus, but most old buildings have **not been retrofitted.** (2 points)

Sustainable building practices are **inadequately or incompletely** implemented for new buildings. (1 point)

Sustainability is **not considered** in the construction of new buildings. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

panels on campus.

Score explanation: Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto's new buildings were all built with a sustained infrastructure objective and the old buildings are being remodelled.

5.5. Has the <u>institution</u> implemented strategies to encourage and provide environmentally-friendly transportation options for students and reduce the environmental impact of commuting?

Yes, the institution has implemented strategies to encourage and provide **environmentally-friendly transportation options** such as safe active transport, public transport, or carpooling and these options are well-utilised by students. Alternatively, the campus location is not amenable to unsustainable forms of transportation by default. (2 points)

The institution has implemented **some** strategies to provide environmentally-friendly transportation options, but the options are **unsatisfactorily** accessible or advertised. (1 point)

The institution has **not** implemented strategies to encourage and provide environmentally-friendly transportation options. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

Score explanation: All students (including university students) in Portugal have free access to public transports with several environment friendly options and most students use them to reach the campus.

5.6. Does your <u>institution</u> have an organics recycling program (compost) and a conventional recycling program (aluminium/paper/plastic/glass)?

Yes, the institution has **both** compost **and** recycling programs accessible to students and faculty. (2 points)

The institution has **either** recycling **or** compost programs accessible to students and faculty, but not both. (1 point)

1

There is **no** compost or recycling program at the medical school. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

Score explanation: There are recycling bins on campus, but there is not an organics recycling program with compost bins available for students or faculty.

5.7. Does the <u>institution</u> apply sustainability criteria when making decisions about the campus food and beverage selections (e.g. local sourcing, reduced meat, decreased plastic packaging)?

Yes, the institution has adequate sustainability requirements for food and beverages, including meat-free days or no red-meat, and is engaged in efforts to increase food and beverage sustainability. (3 points)

There are sustainability guidelines for food and beverages, but they are **insufficient or optional**. The institution **is engaged** in efforts to increase food and beverage sustainability. (2 points)

There are sustainability guidelines for food and beverages, but they are **insufficient or optional.** The institution is **not** engaged in efforts to increase food and beverage sustainability. (1 point)

There are **no** sustainability guidelines for food and beverages. (0 points)

Score Assigned: 3

Score explanation: In the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto there are mandatory rules for the type of food served in the institution for student and Staff Members.

5.8. Does the institution apply su	ustainability criteria	when making decision	s about supply
procurement?			

Yes, the institution has **adequate** sustainability requirements for supply procurement **and** is **engaged** in efforts to increase sustainability of procurement. (3 points)

There are sustainability guidelines for supply procurement, but they are **insufficient or optional.** The institution is **engaged** in efforts to increase sustainability of procurement. (2 points)

There are sustainability guidelines for supply procurement, but they are **insufficient or optional.** The institution is **not engaged** in efforts to increase sustainability of procurement. (1 point)

There are **no** sustainability guidelines for supply procurement. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

2

Score explanation: In the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto we have a list of suppliers for lab equipment and cleaning that adhere to sustainability guidelines.

5.9. Are there sustainability requirements or guidelines for events hosted at the institution?

Every event hosted at the institution **must** abide by sustainability criteria. (2 points)

The institution **strongly recommends or incentivizes** sustainability measures, but they are **not required.** (1 point)

There are **no** sustainability guidelines for institution events. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

1

Score explanation: For every event in Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto there is a strong recommendation to encourage sustainability

5.10. Does your <u>institution</u> have programs and initiatives to assist with making lab spaces more environmentally sustainable?

Yes, the institution has **programs** and **initiatives** to assist with making lab spaces more environmentally sustainable. (2 points)

There are **guidelines** on how to make lab spaces more environmentally sustainable, but not programs or initiatives. (1 point)

There are **no** efforts at the institution to make lab spaces more sustainable. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

2

Score explanation: In the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto, the new lab was built by experts to make it environmentally sustainable.

5.11. Does your institution's endowment portfolio investments include fossil-fuel companies?

The institution is **entirely divested** from fossil fuels **and** has made a **commitment to reinvest divested funds** into renewable energy companies or renewable energy campus initiatives. (4 points)

The institution is **entirely divested** from fossil fuels. (3 points)

The institution has partially divested from fossil fuel companies or has made a commitment to fully divest, but currently still has fossil fuel investments. (2 points)

The institution has **not divested** from fossil-fuel companies, but faculty and/or students are **conducting organised advocacy** for divestment. (1 point)

Yes, the institution has investments with fossil-fuel companies and there have been **no efforts** to change that. (0 points)

Score Assigned:

2

Score explanation: Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto is growing on the use of energy from solar panels and biomass.

Section Total (19 out of 32)

59%

Back to Summary Page <u>here</u>

Grading

Section Overview

This section focuses on the grading of the report card. The institution received a grade for each of the individual sections as well as an overall institutional grade. Section point totals were tallied, divided by the total points available for the section, and converted to a percentage. The overall institutional grade is a weighted average of the section grades, with curriculum receiving a higher weight owing to its larger number of metrics. Letter grades for each section and the institution overall were then assigned according to the table below.

Letter Grade*	Percentage
A	80% - 100%
В	60% - 79%
С	40% - 59%
D	20% - 39%
F	0% - 19%

^{*}Within each grade bracket, a score in the top 5% ($_5$ to $_9\%$), receives a "+", and a score in the bottom 5% ($_0$ - $_4\%$) receives a "--". For example, a percentage score of 78% would be a B+.

Planetary Health Grades for the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto

The following table presents the individual section grades and overall institutional grade for the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto on this medical-school-specific Planetary Health Report Card.

Section	Raw Score %	Letter Grade
Planetary Health Curriculum (30%)	$(25/72) \times 100 = 34.72\%$	D
Interdisciplinary Research (17.5%)	(8/17) x 100 = 47.06%	С
Community Outreach and Advocacy (17.5%)	$(7/14) \times 100 = 50.00\%$	С
Support for Student-led Planetary Health Initiatives (17.5%)	(6/15) x 100= 40.00%	C-
Campus Sustainability (17.5%)	(19/32) x 100 = 59.38%	C+
Institutional Grade	$(34.72 \times 0.3 + 47.06 \times 0.175 + 50.00 \times 0.175 + 40.00 \times 0.175 + 59.38 \times 0.175) = 44.79\%$	С

Report Card Trends

Section Overview

This graph demonstrates trends in overall and section grades for the years in which the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto has participated in the Planetary Health Report Card initiative.

Planetary Health Report Card Trends for the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto

